

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | F  | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,865           |  | 02/23/2004 | Takeshi Oono         | Q79595                   | 7079             |
| 23373                | 7590   | 12/13/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| SUGHRUI              |  |            | WOOD, KEVIN S        |                          |                  |
| SUITE 800            | 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 ART UNIT PA |            |                      |                          | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |  |            |                      | 2874                     |                  |
|                      |  |            | •                    | DATE MAII ED: 12/13/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |           |
|---|--|--|--|-----------|
|   |  | 10/782,865   | OONO ET AL.  | (pm)      |
| Office Actio  | on Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |           |
|   |  | Kevin S. Wood  | 2874   |           |
| The MAILING DA Period for Reply   | TE of this communication ap  | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence addr  | ess       |
| A SHORTENED STATUMHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avarily after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specific - Failure to reply within the set o | ER, FROM THE MAILING D<br>dable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12<br>e mailing date of this communication.<br>and above, the maximum statutory period<br>r extended period for reply will, by statute<br>e later than three months after the mailing | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI (19) date of this communication, even if timely file | N.<br>mely filed<br>n the mailing date of this comr<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |           |
| Status  |  |  |  |           |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FIN</b> 3) ☐ Since this applica   | tion is in condition for allowa  | <br>s action is non-final.<br>ince except for formal matters, pr<br>Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   |  | nerits is |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |           |
| 4a) Of the above of 5) Claim(s) is 6) Claim(s) is 7) Claim(s) is  | /are rejected.   | wn from consideration.   |  |           |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |           |
| 10) The drawing(s) file  Applicant may not r  Replacement drawi   | equest that any objection to the<br>ng sheet(s) including the correc   | er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained. Note the attached Office   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>Djected to. See 37 CFR                                 |           |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §  | 119  |  |  |           |
| a) All b) Some  1. Certified co  2. Certified co  3. Copies of the application  | e * c) None of: pies of the priority documen pies of the priority documen ne certified copies of the prio from the International Burea   | ts have been received in Applicatority documents have been receive   | tion No<br>red in this National St   | age       |
|   | ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  | 4) Interview Summar<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D<br>5) Notice of Informal<br>6) Other:  |  | 52)       |

Application/Control Number: 10/782,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

## RESTRICTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a substrate assembly for supporting an optical component, classified in class 385, subclass 52.
  - Claims 7-8, drawn to a fabrication method of a substrate assembly for supporting an optical component, classified in class 385, subclass 52.
  - III. Claims 9-11, drawn to a fabrication method of a substrate assembly for supporting an optical component, classified in class 385, subclass 52.
  - IV. Claim 12, drawn to a fabrication method of a substrate assembly for supporting an optical component, classified in class 385, subclass 52.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The applicant is claiming 3 materially different processes for making the

claimed product, so it is clear that there are multiple different methods form making the claimed product.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for each of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A reference which would further anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. This, it would be an undue burden to examine all the above inventions in one application.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2874

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin S. Wood
Patent Examiner

Kern' & Wood